UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,131	07/18/2008 Helen Francis-Lang		05-1037-A5 (EX04-072C-US)	4871
	7590 11/10/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKE		GODDARD, LAURA B		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1642	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	olication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence		10/580,131		FRANCIS-LANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			LAURA B. C	GODDARD	1642			
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun.	ication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1\ <b>⊠</b> Res	enonsive to communication(s) file	d on 10 Ma	ov 2006					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 May 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
<i>′</i> =	<del>/</del>							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
CIOS	ed in accordance with the practi	Je under L	k parte Qua	yle, 1933 C.D. 11, 40	0.G. 213.			
Disposition o	of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Application F	Papers							
9) <b>□</b> The	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Арр	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Rep	lacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required	I if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	TO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da S) Notice of Informal P S) Other:	ate			

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, 16-19, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of identifying a candidate beta catenin pathway modulating agent, said method comprising the steps of: (a) providing an assay system comprising a PLK polypeptide or nucleic acid; (b) contacting the assay system with a test agent under conditions whereby, but for the presence of the test agent, the system provides a reference activity; and (c) detecting a test agent-biased activity of the assay system, wherein a difference between the test agent-biased activity and the reference activity identifies the test agent as a candidate beta catenin pathway modulating agent.

Group II, claim(s) 13-15, 20-22, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for modulating a beta catenin pathway of a cell comprising contacting a cell defective in beta catenin function with a candidate modulator that specifically binds to a PLK polypeptide, whereby beta catenin function is restored; a method of modulating beta

catenin pathway in a mammalian cell comprising contacting the cell with an agent that specifically binds a PLK polypeptide.

Group III, claim(s) 20-22, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of modulating beta catenin pathway in a mammalian cell comprising contacting the cell with an agent that specifically binds a PLK nucleic acid.

Group IV, claim(s) 23-25, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for diagnosing a disease in a patient comprising: obtaining a biological sample from the patient; contacting the sample with a probe for PLK expression; comparing results from step (b) with a control; determining whether step (c) indicates a likelihood of disease.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-IV appears to be polo like kinase (PLK).

However, said technical feature does <u>not</u> constitute a special technical feature in view of Wolf et al (Oncogene, 1997, 14:543-549). Wolf et al teach PLK (abstract).

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define

Art Unit: 1642

a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, Groups I-IV are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general incentive concept and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## **SPECIES ELECTIONS**

## Species Election for Group I

**A.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of PLK assay system are as follows:

- (a) comprises a PLK polypeptide (claims 4, 7), or
- (b) comprises a PLK nucleic acid (claims 8-10).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each assay system comprises a structurally and functionally distinct molecule.

**B.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of assay system are as follows:

(a) kinase assay (claim 5),

Art Unit: 1642

(b) apoptosis assay (claim 6),

(c) cell proliferation assay (claim 6),

(d) angiogenesis assay (claim 6),

(e) hypoxic induction assay (claim 6), or

(f) binding assay (claim 7).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each assay system requires different method steps, results, and criteria for success.

**C.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of methods steps are as follows:

- (i) method of claim 1 further comprising no additional steps;
- (ii) method of claim 1 further comprising step (d) of claim 11 (claims 11 and 12);
- (iii) method of claim 1 further comprising steps (d)-(f) of claim 16 (claims 16-19).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding

Art Unit: 1642

special technical features for the following reasons: each method requires different

steps and criteria for success.

If Applicants elect (ii) or (iii) in C above, Applicants must elect a species in D

below:

**D.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of assay system are as follows:

(a) in vivo in an animal (claims 11, 12, 16, 18, 19); or

(b) in vitro in cell culture (claims 11, 17).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding

special technical features for the following reasons: each assay system requires a

distinct testing population of cells.

**E.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of assay system are as follows:

(a) in vivo in an animal; or

Art Unit: 1642

(b) in vitro in cell culture (claims 2, 3).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each assay system requires a distinct testing population of cells.

**Species Election for Group III** 

**F.** This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of PLK expression detected are as follows:

- (a) PLK polypeptide expression (claims 4, 7), or
- (b) PLK nucleic acid expression (claims 8-10).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each assay system comprises a structurally and functionally distinct molecule.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA B. GODDARD whose telephone number is (571)272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura B Goddard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642